



Tracing and quantifying groundwater inflow into lakes using radon-222

T. Kluge, J. Ilmberger, C. von Rohden, W. Aeschbach-Hertig

► To cite this version:

T. Kluge, J. Ilmberger, C. von Rohden, W. Aeschbach-Hertig. Tracing and quantifying groundwater inflow into lakes using radon-222. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 2007, 4 (3), pp.1519-1548. hal-00298843

HAL Id: hal-00298843

<https://hal.science/hal-00298843>

Submitted on 12 Jun 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Papers published in *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions* are under open-access review for the journal *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*

Tracing and quantifying groundwater inflow into lakes using radon-222

T. Kluge, J. Ilmberger, C. von Rohden, and W. Aeschbach-Hertig

Institute for Environmental Physics, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 229, Heidelberg, Germany

Received: 16 May 2007 – Accepted: 29 May 2007 – Published: 12 June 2007

Correspondence to: T. Kluge (tobias.kluge@iup.uni-heidelberg.de)

HESSD

4, 1519–1548, 2007

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Abstract

Due to its high activities in groundwater, the radionuclide ^{222}Rn is a sensitive natural tracer to detect and quantify groundwater inflow into lakes, provided the comparatively low activities in the lakes can be measured accurately. Here we present a simple method for radon measurements in the low-level range down to 3 Bq m^{-3} , appropriate for groundwater-influenced lakes, together with a concept to derive inflow rates from the radon budget in lakes. The analytical method is based on a commercially available radon detector and combines the advantages of established procedures with regard to efficient sampling and sensitive analysis. Large volume (12 l) water samples are taken in the field and analyzed in the laboratory by equilibration with a closed air loop and alpha spectrometry of radon in the gas phase. After successful laboratory tests, the method has been applied to a small dredging lake without surface in- or outflow in order to estimate the groundwater contribution to the hydrological budget. The inflow rate calculated from a ^{222}Rn balance for the lake is around 530 m^3 per day, which is comparable to the results of previous studies. In addition to the inflow rate, the vertical and horizontal radon distribution in the lake provides information on the spatial distribution of groundwater inflow to the lake. The simple measurement and sampling technique encourages further use of radon to examine groundwater-lake interaction.

1 Introduction

In the Rhine Valley a large number of dredging lakes have been created during the last century as a result of excavation of gravel and sand. These lakes are now often used as recreation areas. To estimate the impact of the newly created lakes on the hydrological system or the ecosystem by changes in the lakes itself (direct introduction of pollutants or nutrients) or in the surroundings (fertilization, creation of new lakes and wells for water supply) more information about the groundwater-lake interaction is needed. This information is also of interest for the use of wells for drinking water purposes. The

HESSD

4, 1519–1548, 2007

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

determination of the groundwater-lake interaction is also important for the estimation of the nutrient budget and the prediction of the bio-geochemical evolution of the lake water, especially the eutrophication. The connection to the groundwater system is the most important component in the water budget of these lakes.

5 A network of seepage meters can be used to estimate directly the inflow of groundwater. However, the uncertainty of this approach is high. If the aquifer is heterogeneous, the spatial variation of the inflow can be large (Krabbenhof et al., 1990), requiring a considerable number of seepage meters and a large effort to get acceptable results.

10 Hydraulic heads of the groundwater in the lake's surroundings and typical evaporation and precipitation values can be used to determine indirectly the groundwater inflow. Using this method leads to large uncertainties due to unknown flow paths and other effects caused by changes in the hydraulic conductivity (for instance sealing of the deeper lake layers by mud, decomposition products and sedimentation of mineral dust).

15 The groundwater inflow can also be estimated indirectly by balancing of tracers, such as stable isotopes, tritium, salts or radon. The stable isotopes deuterium and ^{18}O are a useful tool to examine the groundwater-lake interaction. However, the problem of this method is the relatively large uncertainty resulting from the measurement error and seasonal variability of the isotopic composition of the evaporation flux, the lake water and the groundwater inflow (Yehdegho et al., 1997). The isotopic composition of the evaporative flux can not be measured directly and theoretical approaches are charged with high uncertainty. Although the isotopic composition of the lake water can be measured easily, small uncertainties have a large influence on the mass balance because of the comparatively small signal differences between lake- and groundwater.

25 We used in a similar way the radon isotope ^{222}Rn as a budget tracer. ^{222}Rn is a radioactive noble gas isotope, which is produced by the decay of ^{226}Ra within the natural decay chain of ^{238}U . It decays by α -emission to ^{218}Po with a half life of 3.82 d. Despite the existence of other, more short-lived radon isotopes, we use the term radon

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

synonymous to ^{222}Rn , which is the relevant isotope in our context. Radon emitted from the rocks or the sediment grains is dissolved and transported by the groundwater. As a result of the short lifetime, concentration gradients are created between groundwater and surface waters.

The strong gradients of radon between the reservoirs are used for applications in aquatic systems. For example, ^{222}Rn has been used as a tracer for the examination of the air-sea gas exchange (Roether and Kromer, 1978) or the estimation of vertical and horizontal mixing near the bottom boundary of lakes (Weiss et al., 1984; Imboden and Emerson, 1984). Radon is particularly well suited to study groundwater-surface water interaction, because activity concentrations in groundwater (2 to 100 kBq m^{-3} , depending on the lithology) are much higher than in surface water (1 to 100 Bq m^{-3}). This contrast has been used to study groundwater recharge and flow in the vicinity of rivers (Hoehn and von Gunten, 1989). Furthermore, radon has been applied successfully and quite extensively in the investigation of submarine groundwater discharge (e.g. Cable et al., 1996; Corbett et al., 1999, 2000; Crusius et al., 2005). Comparable use of radon to assess groundwater exfiltration into lakes has received less attention (Corbett et al., 1997).

One reason of the minor role of radon in groundwater-lake interaction studies might be the specialized and extensive measurement procedure. In a conventional method for radon detection at low activity levels described by Mathieu et al. (1988), used for instance by Corbett et al. (1997, 1999, 2000), radon is extracted from large water samples by stripping with radon-free He, enriched on a charcoal trap cooled with dry ice, and subsequently detected in a scintillation cell. More recently, methods for continuous radon measurement have been proposed and used in studies of submarine groundwater discharge (Burnett et al., 2001; Lambert and Burnett, 2003; Dulaiova et al., 2005). This approach offers the advantage of time series but requires time consuming measurements in the field with the respective logistical effort. While adequate for studies in coastal regions with radon activity levels in the range of 100 Bq m^{-3} and variability on the tidal time scale, this approach may be less suitable for application in lakes, where

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

even lower but steady radon activities are to be expected.

In this paper, an alternative, comparatively simple and low-cost method is presented, which can be used in the low-level range of lake and surface waters without enrichment of radon and with a low effort in the field. As the continuous techniques, our method is based on a commercially available radon alpha spectrometer, which detects the radon activity in the gas phase. Using large sample containers and a modified transfer system for radon from the sample water to the gas phase, the water activity can be determined at low levels with a sufficient accuracy with regard to the application in lakes.

In addition to the analytical method, a concept for the derivation of the groundwater inflow rate from the radon balance within the lake is discussed. The applicability of this approach is demonstrated by a case study at a dredging lake in the Rhine Valley.

2 Materials and methods

Our method is based on the determination of the radon activity with the commercial alpha spectrometer RAD7 (DurrIDGE Company Inc., Bedford, MA, USA), which measures radon in the gas phase. The radon activity is deduced from the activity of its decay products (primarily ²¹⁸Po). The alpha particles are detected in a discrete energy spectrum by a semiconductor crystal. The energy sensitive counting allows to separate all alpha-emanating polonium isotopes. The half-life of ²¹⁸Po (daughter of ²²²Rn) is 3.1 min. The radon concentration can be derived by counting of the decay of ²¹⁸Po, which is almost in equilibrium with the radon decay in about 15 min. To increase the precision, ²¹⁴Po can be taken into account as well. Due to the longer half-life (24 min, 20 min) of the intermediate nuclides ²¹⁴Pb and ²¹⁴Bi this can only be done after about 3 h when the equilibrium is reached. The spectrum is recorded from 0 to 10 MeV with a resolution of 0.05 MeV (DurrIDGE Co., 2000). Typical background count rates are 1.2 to 1.5 counts per h within the energy window of ²¹⁸Po.

DurrIDGE Company offers two systems (Rad H₂O, Rad Aqua) for the extraction of radon from water samples and measurement with the RAD7 detector. Rad H₂O

is designed for the analysis of small water samples (250 ml) with a high activity ($>400 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$). The air is circulated in a closed cycle through an air-tight water bottle, containing the sample to extract the radon. The other system (Rad Aqua) is designed for continuous measurements. Sample water is pumped through a gas exchange cylinder, which is connected to the air cycle. Radon outgasses from the water until equilibrium is reached. In both cases the air cycle is connected to the radon alpha detector via a drying unit to remove water vapor. Detailed descriptions of the RAD7 detector as well as the two water extraction procedures are available (DurrIDGE Co., 2000, 2001a, b).

The Rad H_2O procedure is suitable for groundwater analyses, but its detection limit is too high to analyze surface water (typical detection limits achieved for the two standard techniques compared to improvements discussed below are listed in Table 1). In contrast, the Rad Aqua technique is in principle suitable for the detection of groundwater exfiltration into surface waters, but due to the long equilibration times, the measurements in the field are very time consuming.

The Rad Aqua method has been used for continuous radon detection in coastal environments in order to investigate submarine groundwater discharge (Burnett et al., 2001; Lambert and Burnett, 2003). In this application, at least 20 min are required to reach equilibrium and up to several h for the subsequent counting to obtain an acceptable uncertainty (Burnett et al., 2001), thus a long time has to be spent at each sampling point. In order to be able to measure depth profiles in a reasonable time, several measurement systems have to be run in parallel (Dulaiova et al., 2005). Therefore we searched for an alternative way that provides simple and quick sampling in the field and measurement with adequate precision in the laboratory.

We developed a new setup for the sample preparation, which we call Rad Aqua Plus, that combines the advantages of the two standard techniques for our purpose. The method involves discrete samples as in Rad H_2O , which can be measured sequentially in the laboratory with only one detector. The required precision can be reached within reasonable counting times. However, larger (12 l) samples are used, which are taken

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

in low-cost polypropylene buckets (diameter 26 cm, height 22.7 cm) with an air tight lid. The gas extraction from the samples is accomplished by an equilibration procedure as in Rad Aqua, but based on two closed loops (Fig. 1), one for the gas- and the other for the water circulation. The gas loop is connected to the alpha-detector RAD7 and the water circulation to the sample bucket. The water from the closed, air-free bucket is sprayed in a gas-exchange cylinder, in which the gas of the closed gas loop is pumped in counter current. Both loops are driven by pumps. The internal pump of RAD7 with a flow rate of about 1 L min⁻¹ is used for the gas loop. The water cycle is driven by a submersible pump (Barwig, 12 V) with a flow rate of about 1.5 L min⁻¹.

In order to enable the analysis of low radon activities, special care was taken to reduce the background counting rate. The background caused by the ambient air in the gas loop is unimportant in case of high radon concentrations or the continuous measurement system. In the continuous method, the initial radon activity from the air is gradually removed by equilibration with the through flowing water. In a system with a closed water- and air cycle it is not negligible if the water activity is below 50 Bq m⁻³. Typical air activities in the Rhine Valley (Heidelberg) are 2 to 30 Bq m⁻³ (Levin et al., 2002). Thus, in order to get rid of the air background and to prepare a well defined initial state, the whole system is purged with Rn-free nitrogen. We found that the background can be substantially reduced by flushing the air loop with nitrogen for 10 min prior to each analysis.

Furthermore, we observed that the dessicant used to remove humidity from the air loop caused a significant background count rate. Using a cold trap instead of the standard drying unit and purging with nitrogen reduces the background to a value of 1.2 to 1.5 counts per hour in the energy window of ²¹⁸Po. Detection limits achieved with these modifications are listed in Table 1. For a typical 4 h measurement with the Rad Aqua Plus method a detection limit of 3 Bq m⁻³ is obtained.

At the beginning of the measurement procedure, the gas cycle contains no radon. Then outgassing starts. This process continues until solubility equilibrium between the two phases is reached. The equilibration takes 30 min up to several h, depending on

the water flow rate through the exchange chamber (Burnett et al., 2001). In our setup, equilibrium is reached after 40 min at a flow rate of 1.5 l min^{-1} .

The radon extracted from the water samples is measured with the RAD7 radon monitor as a gas phase activity concentration C_{gas} , which has to be converted to the activity concentration in the water $C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$, as follows:

$$C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = C_{\text{gas}} \left(\frac{V_{\text{gas}}}{V_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} + \alpha(T) \right) \quad (1)$$

where V_{gas} and $V_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ are the volumes of the closed air and water loops, respectively, and $\alpha(T)$ is the temperature dependent partition coefficient (or Ostwald solubility) of radon. An equation for $\alpha(T)$ is given by Weigel (1978):

$$\alpha(T) = 0.105 + 0.405 \cdot e^{-0.0502 \cdot T} \quad (T \text{ in } ^\circ\text{C}). \quad (2)$$

At room temperature, α is about 0.25, indicating that the volumetric concentration in the gas phase is 4 times higher than in the water phase.

The buckets were filled on the lake by lowering a submersible pump to the sampling depth and pumping the water up through a metal tube system. The tubes that are originally designed for SF_6 -sampling provide an exact depth determination and prevent contamination. The pump (Comet-Combi 12–4 T) is driven by 12 V batteries and provides a flow rate of 5 to 10 l per minute. The buckets are flushed for a few minutes and filled brimful without leaving any air bubbles after closing.

In applications of radon in lakes it is necessary to differentiate between the radon entering through the sediment boundary and the radon constantly being produced in the water column by dissolved ^{226}Ra . Often calculations only refer to the radon that is not supported by dissolved radium, the so-called excess radon.

The contribution of the decay of dissolved radium to the radon concentration can be determined by measuring the samples a second time after a certain storage period. After 4 weeks the excess-radon in the lake sample is reduced to a negligible value and the measured radon activity is equal to the decay rate of radium (Roether and Kromer,

1978). This equilibration technique allows to determine the radon produced by the decay of dissolved radium at the same precision as the water samples.

Using large samples and radon transfer by equilibration in closed loops it is possible to measure water samples in the low level range. Typical uncertainties are about 10%, depending on the duration of the measurement and the activity concentration. For example, using a counting time of 4 h on a 12l sample with an activity concentration of 25 Bq m^{-3} , 93 counts with a background of 6 ± 2 counts are detected. This leads to an uncertainty of 11%. The advantages of the method are the fast sampling in the field (only a few minutes are necessary to fill the bucket) and the straightforward measurement process in the laboratory.

Compared to the radon analysis method described by Mathieu et al. (1988), it is not necessary to enrich radon on charcoal and to perform an extensive extraction with vacuum pumps and helium purging. The whole process described by Mathieu et al. (1988) takes about 2 h for extraction and gas transfer from a 19l water sample, whereas in our procedure the counting can start after a simple equilibration process of about 40 min. The minimum detectable activity reported by Mathieu et al. (1988) is about 0.7 mBq/m^{-3} . The here presented method does not reach this detection limit (about 3 Bq m^{-3} , see Table 1). However, for the study of the groundwater coupling in lakes that are strongly influenced by groundwater, as in the example discussed below, the sensitivity is sufficient and is a good tradeoff between applicability and precision.

Figure 2 shows the results of a comparison with Rad H₂O (extraction of 250 ml water samples) as reference method, performed on samples with relatively high activity concentrations. It demonstrates that the two methods agree within uncertainties, but the measurements with Rad Aqua Plus are faster and yield smaller errors in the same counting period due to a higher counting rate. A sample activity concentration of 6.5 Bq l^{-1} leads to 10 cpm in case of Rad H₂O and about 90 cpm in case of Rad Aqua Plus.

To test the applicability of the 12l buckets used for sampling, a series of water samples from the same source was stored for several days. One sample was measured

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

immediately to determine the initial activity concentration of the water. Afterwards every day a sample was measured and compared to the expected value according to the decay curve. The test shows that the measured radon activity corresponds to the expected value for at least 7 d (Fig. 3).

5 **3 Results**

In order to demonstrate the practical applicability of the method to the investigation of groundwater-lake interactions, a study at a dredging lake, where the groundwater inflow had previously been studied, was conducted. Lake Willersinnweiher is situated in the upper Rhine Valley in Southern Germany. It is one of several dredging lakes in this area. It has a narrow elongated shape ($\approx 200 \times 850$ m) with a mean depth of ≈ 8 m and a maximum depth of 20 m (Fig. 4). Its surface area is 0.145 km^2 and its volume is about $1.3 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$. Because there are no surface in- or outflows, the water budget is controlled only by groundwater in- and outflow, precipitation and evaporation. Precipitation and evaporation do not affect the radon balance significantly, because they have negligible radon concentrations. In this part of the Rhine Valley precipitation and evaporation are in the same range averaged over one year. Their influence to the water balance is small and therefore we neglect evaporation and precipitation in the discussion of the water balance.

Lake Willersinnweiher is embedded in about 30 m of young quarternary sandy-gravel sediments (HGK, 1999). Groundwater flow is generally from south-west to north-east with typical flow velocities between 0.01 m d^{-1} and at most 0.4 m d^{-1} in the inflow area. In the vicinity of the lake, samples were taken at two groundwater observation wells (GWM B, GWM C, Fig. 4) with a maximum depth of 25 m.

Water from the lake and the observation wells was sampled differently, according to the expected activity concentration. Groundwater in the study area has radon activities of 5 to 10 kBq m^{-3} . Therefore, 250 ml glass bottles analyzed with the standard Rad H_2O method are adequate. Because the activity of the lake water is lower ($< 50 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$),

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	

larger sample volumes are necessary. In this case we used 12 l buckets and the new Rad Aqua Plus measurement procedure.

5 The groundwater wells were sampled twice (31 January and 4 March 2005), using three pumps operating simultaneously at different depths. The mean radon activity in the upper 5 m of the aquifer is $5700 \pm 100 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$. The concentration 7, respectively 9 m below the water table differs between the two wells (mean at GWM B 5700 Bq m^{-3} , at GWM C 6800 Bq m^{-3}), perhaps due to heterogeneous deposits. In aquifer depths deeper than the lake bottom, the radon activity in both wells is similar with a mean of $6700 \pm 100 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$. As only the shallow groundwater can enter the lake, a value of 5700 Bq m^{-3} is used for the inflowing groundwater in the budget calculations discussed below.

15 Samples for the radon analysis of lake water were taken with a submersed pump at different locations and from different depths. Vertical profiles at the central station L2 in the main lake basin (Fig. 4) were sampled at three days (20 June, 4 July, and 26 July 2005). Horizontal sections along and perpendicular to the lake axis were taken at two other days (12 July and 19 July 2005). The samplings took place during a period of stable summer stratification. The results of all samples are listed in Tables 2 (vertical profiles) and 3 (horizontal sections).

20 The results of the vertical profiles from site L2 (Fig. 5) do not show strong temporal changes within the sampling period and are therefore interpreted together. The vertical resolution of the combined profile is about 0.5 m in the thermocline and towards the lake bottom and between 1 and 3 m in the rest of the water column. The profiles show activity concentrations ranging from 5 to 30 Bq m^{-3} . In the epilimnion, including samples from the water surface, concentrations are constant within the uncertainty, at a mean value of $8.9 \pm 1.2 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$. In the thermocline the activity is increasing to a maximum of $\approx 30 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$. In the hypolimnion, the radon concentration is rather low with a mean of $6.1 \pm 1.9 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$.

25 The first horizontal transect of the radon activity was performed from south to north at the widest part of the lake, perpendicular to the lake's main axis. Samples were taken

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

from 5 m depth with a horizontal spacing of the sampling sites of about 20 to 30 m (H1 to H7, see Fig. 4). The second horizontal transect was performed from southwest to northeast along the main axis with samples from 5 and 10 m depth with a horizontal spacing of the sites of about 200 m (L1 to L5). Both sections also include samples from the central station L2, where they intersect.

Results from the sites of the horizontal transects located in the main part of the lake are shown in Fig. 5 along with the profiles from site L2. Sites L4 and L5 in the small northeastern basin are excluded in Fig. 5 because this part of the lake is separated by a sill at about 8 m depth. The radon activities in the small basin are comparatively high, probably due to the proximity of the sampling points to the sediment as well as to possible groundwater inflow along the southern shore of this basin. In the main basin, the results from most of the stations are comparable to those from the central profiles at station L2, although the variability especially at a depth of 5 m is high. The large variation at this depth is probably due to the strong vertical gradients near the thermocline peak of the radon activity. Nevertheless, the highest activity of more than 50 Bq m^{-3} was found at station L1 towards the southwestern end of the lake, indicating that the main groundwater inflow area is located there. The overall groundwater flow direction deduced from a numerical model (Wollschläger, 2003) is in agreement with this result.

The radon activities in Lake Willersinnweiher displayed in Tables 2 and 3 and Fig. 5 are uncorrected total measured activities including the contribution from dissolved radium and excess radon. We refer to total radon activities and take the production from radium explicitly into account in the budget calculations (see below). The activity of dissolved radium was determined by additional measurements on two lake samples stored for several weeks. The radon activity concentration in these samples was in equilibrium with that of the dissolved radium, and yielded values for the radium activity of about 4 Bq m^{-3} in the epilimnion and 2.6 Bq m^{-3} in the hypolimnion.

For the estimation of the gas exchange flux, the atmospheric radon activity was measured. A 10 l air sample was taken by enriching the radon on a dry ice cooled

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

charcoal trap. By heating the charcoal in the laboratory, the radon was purged into a closed loop with the RAD7, where the activity was measured to be $7.4 \pm 0.8 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$, which is in the range of typical air activities in the Rhine Valley (Levin et al., 2002).

4 Discussion

5 The basic idea for the calculation of the groundwater inflow is to use the mass balance for the radon isotope (see Fig. 6). If the entire lake is homogeneously mixed and radon activity is at steady state, only the following quantities have to be determined: The mean radon activity concentration (Bq m^{-3}) of the lake water C_{la} , a representative activity concentration of the inflowing groundwater C_{gw} , the radium activity concentration
10 (Bq m^{-3}) of the lake water C_{Ra} ($C_{Ra} = \lambda_{Ra} \cdot C_{Ra}$, where C_{Ra} is the radium concentration), a representative value for the sediment flux F_{sed} , and the gas exchange flux of radon from the lake to the atmosphere F_{surf} .

The variation in the lake level during the sampling campaign was in the cm range, so the lake volume as well as the exchange with the groundwater can be taken as constant
15 and the groundwater inflow equals the outflow. As the Rn activity concentrations in distinct water depths do not change in time (see Fig. 5) it seems reasonable to assume steady state (i.e. $dC_{la}/dt=0$). Then the radon balance can be written (compare Corbett et al., 1997):

$$Q_{gw}(C_{gw} - C_{la}) + F_{sed}A_{sed} - F_{surf}A_{surf} - \lambda_{Rn}V(C_{la} - C_{Ra}) = 0 \quad (3)$$

20 Q_{gw} is the groundwater in- and outflow in $\text{m}^3 \text{d}^{-1}$, A_{surf} the lake surface, A_{sed} the sediment surface area and V the total volume of the lake. In case of lake Willersinnweiher, groundwater in- and outflow is assumed to be the same, because there is no surface in- and outflow and furthermore precipitation and evaporation are comparable.

In Lake Willersinnweiher, the dominant source term is the groundwater inflow
25 ($Q_{gw}C_{gw}$). The outflow from the lake ($Q_{gw}C_{la}$) as well as the sediment flux ($F_{sed}A_{sed}$)

are negligible compared to the groundwater inflow. Outgassing of radon to the atmosphere ($F_{\text{surf}}A_{\text{surf}}$) and decay of excess radon ($\lambda_{Rn}(C_{Ia}-C_{Ra})$) are the major sinks. In the latter term, the correction for the decay of the dissolved radium is important in the hypolimnion.

5 Lake Willersinnweiher is stratified during the summer period. Therefore we can divide the lake into different parts (see Fig. 6). The well mixed upper layer (epilimnion), which extends to a depth of 4.5 m, the region of the strong temperature change (thermocline) between 4.5 m and 7 m below the surface and the deepest layer (hypolimnion), which reaches from a depth of 7 m to the bottom. In these cases, Eq. (3) can be simplified. As the radon transport through the thermocline is strongly reduced, (von Rohden et al., 2007) we neglect the radon fluxes from the epilimnion through the thermocline to the hypolimnion and vice versa. Therefore each layer can be regarded as a box, which does not exchange radon with the other parts. Furthermore, in the hypolimnion the gas exchange with the atmosphere has not to be taken into account. Thus the radon balance for the hypolimnion can be written in the following form:

$$Q_{gw}(C_{gw} - C_{Ia}) + F_{\text{sed}}A_{\text{sed}} - \lambda_{Rn}V(C_{Ia} - C_{Ra}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

In case of C_{Ia} , A_{sed} and V the corresponding values for the hypolimnion have to be chosen (see Table 4). Regarding the thermocline the same assumptions are made. The gas exchange has to be included only in the epilimnion. Calculating the mass balance for the hypolimnion and the epilimnion separately, vertical differences in the groundwater inflow can be detected.

25 In the hypolimnion below 7 m depth no major groundwater inflow appears to be present, as the radon concentration is rather low. The increase of activity towards the lake bottom could be due to a small, localized groundwater inflow, but more likely it is produced by the sediment flux which is most important in the bottom layer with a large ratio of sediment area to water volume. In general, radium decay, groundwater inflow and sediment flux are the sources for the hypolimnic radon. Neglecting the flux through the thermocline and assuming $F_{\text{sed}}=0$, the maximum groundwater inflow needed to explain the observed radon activity can be calculated by balancing the

groundwater inflow Q_{gw} and the decay terms. The result of $Q_{gw}=(52\pm30)\text{ m}^3\text{ d}^{-1}$ is small compared to the total volume of the hypolimnion ($470\,000\text{ m}^3$), leading to a replacement time for the hypolimnion of $25\pm14\text{ a}$. This indicates that without the wintery overturn, the hypolimnion content would be renewed only once in about 25 a by groundwater inflow.

The constant radon concentrations in the epilimnion show that the upper 4.5 m of the lake's water column are well mixed. In contrast, vertical mixing is strongly suppressed in the thermocline between about 4.5 and 7 m depth (von Rohden et al., 2007). A strong radon peak, representing a clear groundwater signal, is present in the upper thermocline (Fig. 5). As there is a minimum of the sediment surface to water volume ratio at this depth, we do not ascribe this to the morphology of the lake in conjunction with the sediment flux.

For a first estimation, we interpret the peak as a result of a uniform radon input due to groundwater inflow into the upper part of the lake. This signal is preserved in the thermocline but strongly reduced in the epilimnion due to gas exchange. In other words, we assume that if there was no gas exchange, the radon concentration would be 22 Bq m^{-3} (mean value at L2 in 5 m depth) throughout the epilimnion and the thermocline. Using this value and neglecting the sediment flux, the balance calculation leads to a maximum value for the groundwater inflow of $(475\pm140)\text{ m}^3\text{ d}^{-1}$ for the upper part of the lake ($<7\text{ m}$ depth, volume $830\,000\text{ m}^3$).

Combining the above first estimates for the hypolimnion and the epilimnion, the total groundwater inflow to the lake is estimated to $(530\pm140)\text{ m}^3\text{ d}^{-1}$, which corresponds to $(1350\pm350)\text{ mm a}^{-1}$ and a replacement time between 5.2 and 9.1 a. This estimate is close to the lower end of the results of former studies: A calculation of the inflow with a groundwater flow model based on piezometer data and stable isotopes (Wollschläger et al., 2007) yielded a range of 446 to $1726\text{ m}^3\text{ d}^{-1}$ (replacement time: 2 to 8 a). Balancing of the calcite sedimentation (Schmid, 2002) resulted in $630\text{ to }1060\text{ m}^3\text{ d}^{-1}$ (3 to 5 a).

The actual radon activity in the epilimnion above 4.5 m depth is much lower than the

maximum in the thermocline. Assuming that the difference is entirely due to outgassing to the atmosphere, the gas exchange flux can be calculated, and using the difference between the radon activity at the surface ($8.9 \pm 1.2 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$) and the equilibrium concentration with the air ($\approx 1.8 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$), the gas transfer velocity can be computed. This calculation performed for the water body above 4.5 m depth (volume $580\,000 \text{ m}^3$) results in a transfer velocity k for Rn of 1.3 m d^{-1} , which is a rather high value compared to a value of $k = 0.6 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ calculated from wind data (mean wind speed is around 2 m s^{-1}) using a relationship given by Upstill-Goddard et al. (1990) or $k = 0.3 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ according to a relationship of Wanninkhof (1992).

This result indicates that the actual radon input into the epilimnion is likely smaller than estimated above, probably because the assumption of a uniform inflow into epilimnion and thermocline is oversimplified. A reason for a comparatively high apparent input into the thermocline could be that groundwater entering the lake above or below the thermocline could sink or rise to the layer where the probability of achieving neutral buoyancy is largest. Turning the above arguments around and assuming a gas transfer coefficient of $k = 0.6 \text{ m d}^{-1}$, the groundwater input to the epilimnion can again be calculated. This yields an inflow of $(200 \pm 40) \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ for the volume above 4.5 m depth, about $130 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ less than expected if the above estimated inflow of $475 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ would be distributed between epilimnion and the thermocline according to their volumes. The first estimate for the total groundwater inflow of $530 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ may therefore be interpreted as an upper boundary of the true value.

The above calculations rely on several assumptions. An essential requirement is a complete horizontal mixing of the lake on a time scale smaller than the half-life of ^{222}Rn , admitting the assumption of horizontal homogeneity so that the central profile can be taken as representative for the entire lake. Peeters et al. (1996) reported horizontal eddy diffusivities in lakes in the range of 0.02 to $0.3 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Using these values and a typical horizontal dimension of 100 m (half width) for Lake Willersinnweiher, the mixing timescale lies between 5 and 70 h . This is comparable to the radon half-life of 92 h , hence the requirement of horizontal homogeneity is at best approximately fulfilled. In

fact, the horizontal sections revealed inhomogeneity especially in the epilimnion (see Fig. 5 and Table 3). The activity is decreasing from the suspected groundwater entry zone (south-west) to the north-eastern end of the main basin of the lake.

The requirement of a good horizontal mixing will limit the application of the simple box model concept based on only one central radon profile to rather small lakes. In case of larger lakes, the horizontal mixing time will be in the range of days or weeks, which in view of the short half-life of radon must lead to horizontal concentration gradients. In this case it is not possible to calculate the groundwater inflow from one vertical profile, but a three dimensional mapping of the radon distribution would be required. This has, on the other hand, the advantage that the groundwater entry areas can be located.

A large uncertainty originates from the scatter of the activity concentration in the thermocline. The values measured at 5 m depth at station L2 vary from 19 to 30 Bq m⁻³, possibly due to horizontal inhomogeneity and a variable degree of advection of groundwater influenced water. Further uncertainties arise from the assumptions about the distribution of the groundwater inflow in the upper part of the lake and the effect of gas exchange. The sharp peak in the thermocline is possibly not only the result of the gas exchange at the surface, but also due to the buoyancy driven interstratification of the inflowing groundwater in the thermocline, where the density is varying strongly.

A way to reduce the uncertainty of the effect of gas exchange would be to monitor the temporal evolution of the radon concentration in the epilimnion and the radon inventory in the entire lake over an extended period of time, as demonstrated by Corbett et al. (1997). Seasonal changes in the vertical stratification of the lake should lead to a dynamic evolution of the radon concentrations that can be interpreted in terms of gas exchange, vertical mixing, and groundwater input. The analytical method presented here is suitable to conduct such an extended study, which we plan to realize in the future.

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

5 Conclusions

The method using large buckets for sampling, radon transfer by equilibration between water- and gas cycles, and the measurement with an energy sensitive alpha spectrometer is well suited for the detection of the radon activities in lakes which are influenced by groundwater. The method involves rapid sampling, followed by precise radon measurements in the laboratory. The presented field example from Lake Willersinnweiher shows that the approach allows the detection and quantitative estimation of groundwater inflow to lakes.

The groundwater inflow estimated by a simple radon balance is comparable to the results of former studies. A significant advantage of the radon method is that it allows to distinguish groundwater inflow to the hypolimnion and the epilimnion during stratification, and to locate groundwater entry areas in the horizontal dimension. However, more data over a longer time period with changing vertical stratification and better horizontal resolution would be needed to reduce the uncertainties of the inflow estimation and to obtain a more detailed picture of the spatial distribution of the groundwater inflow.

References

Burnett, W. C., Kim, G., and Lane-Smith, D.: A continuous monitor for assessment of ^{222}Rn in the coastal ocean, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 249, 167–172, 2001.

Cable, J. E., Bugna, G. C., Burnett, W. C., and Chanton, J. P.: Application of ^{222}Rn and CH_4 for assessment of groundwater discharge to the coastal ocean, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 41, 1347–1353, 1996.

Corbett, R., Burnett, W. C., Cable, P. H., and Clark, S. B.: Radon tracing of groundwater input into Par Pond, Savannah River Site, *J. Hydrol.*, 203, 209–227, 1997.

Corbett, R., Dillon, K., Burnett, W. C., Chanton, J., and Rutkowski, C.: Patterns of groundwater discharge into Florida Bay, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 44, 1045–1055, 1999.

Corbett, R., Dillon, K., Burnett, W. C., and Chanton, J.: Estimating the groundwater contribution

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

into Florida Bay via natural tracers, Rn-222 and CH-4, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 45, 1546–1557, 2000.

Crusius, J., Koopmans, D., Bratton, J. F., Charette, M. A., Kroeger, K. D., Henderson, P., Ryckman, L., Halloran, K., and Colman, J. A.: Submarine groundwater discharge to a small estuary estimated from radon and salinity measurements and a box model, *Biogeosciences*, 2, 141–157, 2005,
<http://www.biogeosciences.net/2/141/2005/>.

Dulaiova, H., Peterson, R., Burnett, W. C., and Lane-Smith, D.: A multi-detector continuous monitor for assessment of ²²²Rn in the coastal ocean, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 263, 361–365, 2005.

Durridge Co.: RAD7 radon detector – Owner’s manual, available at: <http://www.durridge.com/Manuals.htm>, 2000.

Durridge Co.: RAD7 RAD-H₂O – Radon in water accessory – Owner’s manual, available at: <http://www.durridge.com/Manuals.htm>, 2001a.

Durridge Co.: RAD AQUA – Continuous radon-in-water monitoring system, available at: <http://www.durridge.com/Manuals.htm>, 2001b.

Hoehn, E. and von Gunten, H.: Radon in groundwater: a tool to assess infiltration from surface waters to aquifers, *Water Resour. Res.*, 25, 1795–1803, 1989.

HGK, Arbeitsgruppe Hydrogeologische Kartierung und Grundwasserbewirtschaftung Rhein-Neckar-Raum: Hydrogeologische Kartierung und Grundwasserbewirtschaftung Rhein-Neckar-Raum. Analyse des Ist-Zustandes. Technical report. Ministerium für Ernährung, Landwirtschaft, Umwelt und Forsten Baden-Württemberg, Hessischer Minister für Landesentwicklung, Umwelt, Landwirtschaft und Forsten, Ministerium für Landwirtschaft, Weinbau und Forsten Rheinland-Pfalz, 1999.

Imboden, D. and Emerson, S.: Natural radon and phosphorus as limnologic tracers: Horizontal and vertical eddy diffusion in Greifensee, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 29, 831–844, 1984.

Kluge, T.: Radon als Tracer in aquatischen Systemen, Diploma thesis, Univ. Heidelberg, 2005.
Krabbenhof, D. P., Bowser, C. J., Anderson, M. P., and Valley, J. W.: Estimating groundwater exchange with lakes 1. The stable isotope mass balance method, *Water Resour. Res.*, 26, 2445–2453, 1990.

Lambert, M. J. and Burnett, W. C.: Submarine groundwater discharge estimates at a Florida coastal site based on continuous radon measurements, *Biogeochemistry*, 66, 55–73, 2003.

Levin, I., Born, M., Cuntz, M., Langendörfer, U., Mantsch, S., Naegler, T., Schmidt, M., Ver-

HESSD

4, 1519–1548, 2007

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

- lugin, A., Verclas S., and Wagenbach, D.: Observations of atmospheric variability and soil exhalation rate of radon-222 at a Russian forest site, *Tellus*, 54B, 462–475, 2002.
- Mathieu, G. G., Biscaye, P. E., and Lupton, R. A.: System for measurement of Rn-222 at low levels in natural waters, *Health Phys.*, 55, 982–992, 1988.
- 5 Peeters, F., Wüest, A., Piepke, G., and Imboden, D.: Horizontal mixing in lakes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 18 361–18 375, 1996.
- Roether, W. and Kromer, B.: Field determination of air-sea gas exchange by continuous measurement of radon-222, *Pure Appl. Geophys.*, 116, 476–485, 1978.
- Schmid, J.: Calcitfällung und Phosphor-Kopräzipitation im Phosphorhaushalt eines eutrophen Hartwassersees mit anoxischem Hypolimnion (Willersinnweiher, Ludwigshafen am Rhein), Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Heidelberg, 2002.
- 10 Upstill-Goddard, R. C., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., and Liddicoat, M.: Gas transfer velocities in lakes measured with SF₆, *Tellus*, 42B, 364–377, 1990.
- von Rohden, C., Wunderle, K., and Ilmberger, J.: Parameterisation of the vertical transport in a small thermally stratified lake, *Aquatic. Sci.*, 69, 129–137, doi:10.1007/s00027-006-0827-4, 2007.
- 15 Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship Between Wind Speed and Gas Exchange Over the Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97, 7373–7382, 1992.
- Weigel, F.: Radon, *Chemiker-Zeitung*, 102, 287, 1978.
- 20 Wollschläger, U.: Kopplung zwischen Oberflächenwasser und Grundwasser: Modellierung und Analyse von Umwelttracern, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Heidelberg, 2003.
- Wollschläger, U., Ilmberger, J., Isenbeck-Schröter, M., Kreuzer, A., von Rhoden, C., Roth, K. and Schäfer, W. et al.: Coupling of groundwater and surface water at Lake Willersinnweiher: Groundwater modeling and tracer studies, *Aquatic. Sci.*, 69, 138–152, doi:10.1007/s00027-006-0825-6, 2007.
- 25 Yehdegho, B., Rozanski, K., Zojer, H., and Stichler, W.: Interaction of dredging lakes with the adjacent groundwater field: an isotope study, *J. Hydrol.*, 192, 247–270, 1997.
- Weiss, W., Zapf, T., Baitter, M., Kromer, B., Fischer, K. H., Schlosser, P., Roether, W., and Münnich, K. O.: Subsurface horizontal water transport and vertical mixing in Lake Constance traced by radon-222, tritium, and other physical and chemical tracers, p.43–54, in: *Isotope Hydrology*, 1983, IAEA, Vienna, 1984.
- 30

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Table 1. Different analytical methods and their detection limit. Counting times are typical values for the respective methods and are in part limited by the increasing background from the drying medium, except if a water trap is used. The detection limit is defined as the activity concentration that – using the stated sample size and during the given counting time – produces a background-corrected signal which deviates two standard deviations from zero (i.e. the 1σ uncertainties of the listed values are 50%).

Method	Sample volume (L)	Counting time (min)	Detection limit (Bq m^{-3})
Rad H_2O	0.25	20	400
Rad H_2O with N_2 -purging	0.25	60	160
Rad Aqua	continuous	30	10
Rad Aqua Plus with N_2 -purging	12	60	8
Rad Aqua Plus with N_2 -purging and water trap	12	240	3

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Table 2. Results of vertical profile measurements in Lake Willersinnweiher using the equilibration method Rad Aqua Plus. The samples were taken at the indicated dates and depths at station L2 (middle of the main basin, see Fig. 4). Typical uncertainties are 10 to 15% of the value.

20 June 2005		4 July 2005		26 July 2005	
depth (m)	C_{Rn} (Bq m ⁻³)	depth (m)	C_{Rn} (Bq m ⁻³)	depth (m)	C_{Rn} (Bq m ⁻³)
0	8.5	4	9.3	3	9.0
2	7.1	4.5	21.4	5.5	18.0
4	10.4	5	17.3	8	4.9
5	22.0	5.5	14.4	11	13.7
7	5.8	6	14.2	12	5.9
11	4.1	6.5	9.3	13	6.5
12	5.4			13.5	8.0
13	5.3			14	6.2
14	6.5			14.3	5.4
16.8	24.3			14.8	9.4
				15.2	8.6

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 3. Results of horizontal sections of radon measurements through Lake Willersinnweiher using the equilibration method Rad Aqua Plus. The samples were taken on 12 July 2005 in a transversal section (stations H1 to H7, see Fig. 4) at a depth of 5 m (4.6 m for H1) and on 19 July 2005 in a longitudinal section (stations L1 to L5) at depths of 5 and 10 m. Typical uncertainties are 10 to 15% of the value.

12 July 2005 5 m depth station		19 July 2005 5 m depth station		10 m depth station
	C_{Rn} (Bq m ⁻³)		C_{Rn} (Bq m ⁻³)	C_{Rn} (Bq m ⁻³)
H1 ^a	24.0	L1	52.4	2.6
H2	14.7	L2	30.6	10.1
H3	16.2	L3	19.4	5.2
H4	10.4	L4	13.8	24.8
H5	14.4	L5	48.0	21.0
L2	19.4			
H7	16.9			

^aThe sample at station H1 was taken at 4.6 m depth.

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.

Table 4. Values used for the balance calculations. A_{sed} is the sediment surface in each box. The according mean radon activity is C_{la} . Throughout the thermocline a mean radon activity concentration of $22 \text{ (Bq m}^{-3}\text{)}$ was assumed. The groundwater inflow rate is calculated neglecting the sediment flux. Therefore the results represent an upper limit for the estimated inflow rate.

Box	Volume (m^3)	A_{sed} (m^2)	C_{la} (Bq m^{-3})	Q_{gw} (m^3/d)
Epilimnion	580 000	31 000	8.9	330
Thermocline	250 000	27 500	22	145
Hypolimnion	470 000	86 000	6.1	52
Total	1 300 000	144 500	–	527

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the setup consisting of closed water and gas loops, interconnected at the exchanger, where radon is transferred from the water to the gas cycle until equilibrium is reached. The equilibrium partitioning depends on temperature and the volume ratio of the two loops. The radon activity is measured in the gas loop with an alpha spectrometer (RAD7).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.




Fig. 2. Comparison of the new method, called Rad Aqua Plus, with Rad H₂O measurements at different activities.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[I◀](#)

[▶I](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.




Fig. 3. Test of the tightness of the sampling buckets. Several buckets were filled with the same water and then stored. The results are compared to the decay curve.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 4. Lake Willersinnweiher, from Wollschläger (2003). The lake has a maximum length of 850 m and a maximum width of 325 m. The maximum depth in the main basin is 20 m (L3), in the smaller eastern part about 13 m. The mean depth is about 8 m. The sampling points in the lake are numbered from L1 to L5 in case of the SE to NW longitudinal transect and from H1 to H7 for the transverse transect from South to North. The groundwater wells are GWM B and GWM C.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 5. Summary of vertical radon profiles in the middle of Lake Willersinnweiher at station L2 (full symbols) and horizontal transects including all stations in the main basin (open symbols), taken at different dates during the summer stratification period. The result at station L1 (5 m depth, 19 July 2005) is off scale (52.4 Bq m^{-3}). The black line is a subjective fit based on the L2 data. The samples were analysed with the equilibration method Rad Aqua Plus. The mean radon activity in the epilimnion is higher than the mean of the hypolimnion. This can be explained by groundwater inflow mainly in the upper part of the lake. This conclusion is supported by the strong signal in the upper thermocline.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[I◀](#)
[▶I](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

Radon in lakes

T. Kluge et al.




Fig. 6. Box model of the stratified lake. Radon sources are groundwater inflow Q_{gw} , sediment flux F_{sed} and the decay of dissolved radium in the lake water ($\lambda_{\text{Rn}} C_{\text{Ra}}$). The radon concentration is decreased by decay ($\lambda_{\text{Rn}} C_{\text{la}}$), outgassing to the atmosphere F_{surf} and the outflow. The radon exchange between the two boxes is neglected, because of the stratification and the reduced transport through the thermocline.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion